

## CDP change log

### Response to comments from PDG

Six comments on the content of the Council Delivery Plan were received from members of PDG at its meeting on Wednesday 10 January 2018.

1. **PDG comment:** One member asked if the target of £500,000 income from recyclable is still realistic, given market changes.

**Response:** The target of £500,000 is achievable, even in the current market conditions. It is likely that this is a fairly low target and that the service will actually receive more each year for its recycling sales.

**Decision:** Leave content as it is.

**Final content:** 'Generate £500,000 by selling the dry recycling we collect'.

2. **PDG comment:** Suggestion to add wording to Homes and communities section on page 8: *'Working with developers to provide reasonable sized starter homes, with good sized rooms through the Local Plan review process'*.

**Response:** The Local Plan review will look at lots of things, so it's not advisable to highlight just one. Further, the size of homes and bedroom numbers are a developer decision made based on market conditions.

**Decision:** Do not include this line.

3. **PDG comment:** One member suggested that the sentence stating 'Ensure anti-social behaviour levels do not increase, even if crime increases' in the Homes and communities section on page 8 might imply that the council is content for crime to rise.

**Response:** The sentence as it stands could imply that the council is content for crime to increase so should be amended.

**Decision:** Remove 'even if crime increases' from the sentence.

**Final content:** 'Ensure anti-social behaviour levels do not increase'.

4. **PDG comment:** One member asked whether the sentence 'Maximise the economic benefits and minimise the negative impacts from HS2 for North West Leicestershire and our residents' in the Homes and communities section on page 8 should mention the community fund.

**Response:** The detail of exactly what the council will do to support communities and

maximise the benefits of HS2 has not yet been finalised. The current wording offers us the flexibility to finalise actions based on an overall outcome, and we will measure it against this.

**Decision:** Leave content as it is.

**Final content:** 'Maximise the economic benefits, and minimise the negative impacts from HS2 for North West Leicestershire and our residents'.

5. **PDG comment:** One member suggested that the Good Design Guide isn't as relevant to rural areas of the district and that urban design style is being applied across the board.

**Response:** The Good Design Guide is applied across the whole district. It has different ways of doing things in urban and rural areas. Member training could aid understanding of the Good Design Guide and its application.

**Decision:** Leave content as it is.

**Final content:** 'Formally launch our Good Design Guide for housing developments'.

6. **PDG comment:** The issue of Equalities Impact Screening was raised, in particular with regard to service transformation and provision of services online (Value for money), health and wellbeing strategy (Homes and communities), the good design guide and provision of accessible homes (Homes and communities), monitoring outcomes of supporting businesses to be disability confident employers (Business and jobs).

**Response:** Equalities Impact Screening applies to a lot of the work in the document. Rather than add elements to each section of the Council Delivery Plan, we should ensure that each project or piece of work includes it.

**Decision:** No change to the Council Delivery Plan. Equalities Impact Screening to be included in the corporate project management toolkit.